



भारत का राजपत्र

The Gazette of India

प्रसाधारण

EXTRAORDINARY

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उपखण्ड (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 308]

नई दिल्ली, बृहस्पतिवार, सितम्बर 29, 1966/आश्विन 7, 1888

No. 308]

NEW DELHI, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 1966/ASVINA 7, 1888

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

MINISTRY OF LABOUR, EMPLOYMENT AND REHABILITATION

(Department of Labour and Employment)

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 29th September 1966

S.O. 2931.—Whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to (1) Calcutta Dock Labour Board, Calcutta (2) The Master Stevedores Association, Calcutta and (3) The Calcutta Stevedores Association, Calcutta and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas, the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

1. Whether the demand for reinstatement of the following ex-workmen is justified, and if so, to what relief, if any, are they entitled:—

- (1) Shri Ahad Khan—Hatch Foreman.
- (2) Shri Jitendra Nath Das—Tally Clerk
- (3) Shri Siddique Khan—Winchman.

(1389)

- (4) Shri Noor Mohammad—Khamali-II.
- (5) Shri Mohammed Ismail—Sardar Supervisor.
- (6) Shri Aftab Alam—Upper Division Clerk.
- (7) Sk. Babu—Winchman.

2. Whether the existing wages of monthly workers include an element of wages in respect of the weekly days of rest? If not, to what relief, if any, are they entitled?

3. Whether the demand for mooring allowance for winchmen in addition to their present emoluments is justified? If so, to what relief are they entitled?

4. Whether slicemen and chamachias should be booked for any other type of work? If so, what should be the procedure and conditions for such booking?

[No. 28(136)/66-LRIV.]

S.O. 2932.—Whereas, by an order the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation, Department of Labour and Employment No. 28(136)/66-LRIV, dated the 29th September, 1966, an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to (1) Calcutta Dock Labour Board, Calcutta (2) Master Stevedores Association, Calcutta and (3) Calcutta Stevedores Association, Calcutta and their workmen, has been referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby prohibits the continuance of a strike in existence in connection with the said dispute.

[No. 28(136)/66-LRIV.]

P. M. NAYAK, Addl. Secy.